

UNITED STEES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/030,832
 02/26/98
 HANNA
 M
 1488,0950001

M 1488.0950001

HM12/1208 STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX 1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON DC 20005-3934 HAYES, R

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1645

DATE MAILED:

12/08/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/030,832

App. :t(s)

Hanna et al

Examiner

Robert C. Hayes

Group Art Unit 1645



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 10, 1999	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193!	5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin The drawing(s) filed on	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been e International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	48
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	

Application/Control Number: 09/030832

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 27-94 & 148-157, drawn to isolated nucleic acids that encode ET2 polypeptides, vectors and host cells comprising such, as well as methods of producing the polypeptide, classified in Class 435, subclass 96.1.
 - II. Claims 95-147, drawn to isolated nucleic acids that encode GABRE polypeptides, vectors and host cells comprising such, as well as methods of producing the polypeptide, classified in Class 435, subclass 96.1.
 - III. Claim 10, drawn to purified ET2 polypeptides, classified in Class 530, subclass 350.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Although there are no provisions under the section for "Relation of Inventions" in MPEP 806.05 for inventive groups that are directed to different products; restriction is deemed proper because these products appear to constitute patently distinct inventions for the following reason:

Groups I-III are directed to products that are physically and functionally distinct that include polypeptides and polynucleotides. All of these products can be prepared by different processes, such as though chemical synthesis or isolation from natural sources using various

Application/Control Number: 09/030832

Art Unit: 1645

isolation/purification procedures. For example, the proteins of Group III are structurally and fundamentally different molecules than the polynucleotide molecules of Groups I or II, and vice versa. Additionally, the proteins of Group II do not require the vectors and host cells of Groups I or II, and vice versa. The polynucleotides of Group I are distinguished from the polynucleotides of Group II because they encode distinct proteins, as illustrated by their unique SEQ ID NOs. The proteins of Group III are further distinguished from the polynucleotides of Group III, in that they are not encoded by the polynucleotides of Group II, and vice versa. It is pointed out that there is a proper distinction between these groups, since each product is not required in order for the other to exist. Thereby, these groups are distinct and separable for the reasons stated.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the non-coextensiveness of the search and examination for each group would constitute an undue burden on the examiner to search and consider all the separable groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

Art Unit: 1645

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Robert Hayes whose telephone number is (703) 305-3132. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, and alternate Fridays, from 8:30 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, can be reached on (703) 305-3995. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4242.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Robert C. Hayes, Ph.D.

December 6, 1999

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600